

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 are pending in this application. Claims 5, 7-9 and 12 are withdrawn. By this Amendment, claims 1 and 2 are amended to cure informalities. Thus, no new matter is added by this Amendment.

I. Rejoinder

The Office Action makes the election of species final. At least, claims 1 and 2 are generic. Because claims 1 and 2 are allowable for the reasons discussed below, withdrawn claims 5, 7-9, and 12 should be rejoined with the application and allowed for the same reasons discussed below with respect to claims 1-4, 6, 10 and 11.

II. Rejection 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph

Claims 1-4, 6, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as not being enabling. Specifically, the Office Action asserts that the features regarding each pixel having a plurality of bit memories and a self-rewriteable memory is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claims.

Claims 1 and 2 are amended as suggested by the Office Action. In particular, claim 1 is amended to include one pixel having a plurality of bit memories and a self-rewriteable memory, and claim 2 is amended to include a plurality of memories that store each bit of said gray scale data and a self-rewriteable memory.

Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

III. 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

Claim 1-4, 6, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite. In particular, the Office Action asserts with respect to claim 1 that it is unclear as to which memory "said memory" is referring, and it is unclear whether the "read memory" is referring to the "said memory." The Office Action further asserts with respect to claim 2 that

it is unclear as the meaning of "a memory that stores bit corresponding to a subfield from among said memories and "latches bit stored in the memory selected."

Claims 1 and 2 are amended to provide antecedent bases for any noted "memory" and to add "a self-rewritable memory," as suggested by the Office Action.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

IV. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-12 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Linda M. Saltiel
Registration No. 51,122

JAO:LMS/slh

Date: November 28, 2005

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--